

Lawrence Spasojevich (LS 0945)  
Aidala, Bertuna & Kamins, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
Tele: (212) 486-0011  
Email: ls@aidalalaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

NYRON LEWIS,

CASE NO. 1:21-cv-4712

Plaintiff,

**COMPLAINT**

-against-

GB SNEAKERS INC., GURSON SNEAKERS INC., and  
BALBIR SIDANA,

ECF Case,

Defendants.

---

Plaintiff, NYRON LEWIS, on behalf of Plaintiff, by and through the undersigned attorneys, Lawrence Spasojevich, Esq., hereby files this Complaint against Corporate Defendants, GB SNEAKERS INC. and GURSON SNEAKERS INC. (hereinafter “Corporate Defendants”) as well as Individual Defendant BALBIR SIDANA (hereinafter “SIDANA”)(collectively “Defendants”) and states as follows:

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff alleges that, under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate; (2) liquidated damages; (3) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.

2. Plaintiff further alleges that under the New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid wages at the minimum wage rate; (2) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate; (3) statutory penalties; (4) liquidated damages; (5) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (6) attorneys' fees and costs.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1337, and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

4. Venue is proper in the EASTERN DISTRICT pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the conduct making up the basis of the complaint took place in this judicial district.

## **PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff, NYRON LEWIS, is an adult resident of Kings County, New York.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, GB SNEAKERS INC., is a domestic business corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a place of business located at 16582 Baisley Boulevard, Jamaica, Queens, New York 11434.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, GURSON SNEAKERS INC., is a domestic business corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a place of business located at 16582 Baisley Boulevard, Jamaica, Queens, New York 11434.

8. Upon information and belief, Corporate Defendants were joint employers of Plaintiff in that Corporate Defendants operated the same store that Plaintiff worked and each issued Plaintiff paychecks for continuous work in a work week.

9. Furthermore, Corporate Defendants were owned by SIDANA and SIDANA was the direct supervisor of Plaintiff in that SIDANA exercised direct supervisory authority by setting Plaintiff's work hours, determining Plaintiff's rate of pay, and directing Plaintiff's daily work.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed ten (10) or more individuals throughout Plaintiff's employment and operated four (4) stores within the State of New York.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant, SIDANA, is an owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Corporate Defendants who participated in the day-to-day operations of Corporate Defendants and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an "employer" pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and Regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. § 791.2, as well as NYLL§ 2 and the Regulations, and is jointly and severally liable with Corporate Defendants.

12. At all relevant times, Corporate Defendants were, and continue to be, "enterprises engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

13. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Defendants.

14. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff the minimum wage rate for all hours worked in a workweek in contravention of the FLSA and NYLL.

15. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff the overtime wage rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek in contravention of the FLSA and NYLL.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

16. The minimum wage rate in the City of New York for employers with ten (10) or more employees in the year 2017 was \$11.00.
17. The minimum wage rate in the City of New York for for employers with ten (10) or more employees in the year 2018 was \$13.00.
18. The minimum wage rate in the City of New York for for employers with ten (10) or more employees in the year 2019 was \$15.00.
19. The minimum wage rate in the City of New York for for employers with ten (10) or more employees in the year 2020 is \$15.00.
20. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants from in or about May 2017 to in or about March 2020.
21. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was paid at an hourly rate of \$10.00 in 2017, \$12.00 in 2018, \$13.50 in 2019, and \$15.00 in 2020.
22. During the course of his employment, Plaintiff regularly worked over forty (40) hours in a work-week.
23. Upon his recall and recollection, Plaintiff's worked an average regular schedule of Sunday through Saturday with one (1) random day off throughout the work week from 2017 through 2019.
24. In 2020, upon his recall and recollection, Plaintiff worked an average regular schedule of Monday through Saturday with one random day off throughout the work week.
25. Upon his recall and recollection, Plaintiff's daily work schedule was 10:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. Monday through Thursday and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Friday through Saturday and 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Sunday.

26. By way of example and upon his recall and recollection, Plaintiff worked sixty three and a half hours (65.6) during the week July 17, 2018.

27. Plaintiff was not compensated at the overtime rate for all hours worked in a work week.

28. Defendants forced Plaintiff to utilize two (2) different time clocks throughout the week to track his time.

29. This was done to disguise the hours worked by Plaintiff and to skirt the responsibilities of Defendants to pay Plaintiff at the lawful amounts.

30. Defendant, SIDANA, is an individual who, upon information and belief, owns the stock of Corporate Defendants, owns Corporate Defendants, and manages and makes all business decisions, including but not limited to, the decisions of what salary the employees will receive and the number of hours the employees will work.

31. Defendant, SIDANA, exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment, in that has and has had the power to: (i) hire and fire employees, (ii) determine rates and methods of pay, (iii) determine work schedules, (iv) supervise and control the work of the employees, and (v) otherwise affect the quality of the employees' employment.

32. Plaintiff was not properly compensated wages at the minimum wage rate for all hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

33. Plaintiff was not properly compensated wages at the overtime wage rate for all hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

34. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff wages for hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek at the overtime wage rate in violation of the FLSA and NYLL and the supporting Federal and New York State Department of Labor

Regulations as plainly evidenced by the two (2) corporate structure and Plaintiff utilizing two (2) different time-clocks.

35. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff wages at the minimum wage rate in violation of the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations as plainly evidenced by the two (2) corporate structure and Plaintiff utilizing two (2) different time-clocks.

36. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, and during Plaintiff's employment, the Defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records.

37. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with an accurate wage statement or summary, accurately accounting for the actual hours worked, and setting forth the hourly rate of pay and overtime wages.

38. Upon information and belief, this was done to disguise the actual number of hours the Plaintiff worked and to avoid paying the minimum wage rate for all hours worked and overtime wage for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek.

39. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and the NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

40. Plaintiff has been substantially damaged by the Defendants' wrongful conduct.

**COUNT 1**  
**[Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act]**

41. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "40" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

42. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants was/were and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).

43. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.

44. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants has/have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.

45. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek at the overtime wage rate as provided for in the FLSA.

46. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff compensation in the lawful amount for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek as provided for in the FLSA.

47. At all relevant times, Defendants had and continue to have a policy and practice of refusing to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek at the overtime wage rate, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a)(1), 207(a)(1), and 215(a).

48. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA, as evidenced by the failure to compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek at the overtime wage rate when Defendants knew or should have known such was due and that non-payment of an overtime rate would financially injure Plaintiff.

49. Defendants have failed to make, keep and preserve records concerning each of its employees sufficient to determine the wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.A. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c) and 215(a).

50. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of the Defendants. Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.

51. Defendants failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of the rights under the FLSA.

52. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages under the FLSA.

53. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of the Defendants, Plaintiff suffered damages in and entitled to an award of, an amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate and, an equal amount as liquidated damages, and prejudgment interest.

54. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of its reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

**COUNT 2**  
**[Violation of the New York Labor Laws]**

55. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "54" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

56. The wage provisions of the NYLL apply to Defendants and protect the Plaintiff.

57. Defendants, pursuant to policies and practices, refused and failed to pay the earned wage at the minimum wage rate to Plaintiff for all hours worked.

58. By failing to compensate Plaintiff a wage at the minimum wage rate for all hours worked, Defendants violated Plaintiff's statutory rights under the NYLL.

59. Defendants, pursuant to policies and practices, refused and failed to pay the earned wage at the overtime wage rate to Plaintiff for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek.



60. By failing to compensate Plaintiff a wage for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek at the overtime wage rate, Defendants violated Plaintiff's statutory rights under the NYLL.

61. The preceding conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the NYLL without a good or reasonable basis.

62. Therefore, Defendants knowingly and willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff compensation at the minimum wage rate for all hours worked and payment for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek at the overtime wage rate.

63. Due to the Defendants' NYLL violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants unpaid wages at the minimum wage rate, unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to NYLL § 663(1) et al. and § 198.

64. Plaintiff also seeks liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 663(1).

**COUNT 3**  
**[Failure to provide a Wage Notice]**

65. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "64" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

66. The New York State Wage Theft Prevention Act and NYLL § 195(1) requires every employer to notify its employees, in writing, among other things, of the employee's rate of pay and regular payday.

67. Defendants has/have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff with an accurate wage notice, as required by NYLL, § 195(1), within ten (10) days of the start of employment.

68. Through the knowing or intentional failure to provide the Plaintiff with the wage notice required by the NYLL, Defendants willfully violated NYLL Article 6, §§ 190 et. seq., and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

69. Due to Defendants' willful violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(1), Plaintiff is entitled to statutory penalties of fifty (\$50.00) dollars each day that Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with an accurate wage notice and reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 §198(1-b).

**COUNT 4**  
**[Failure to provide Wage Statements]**

70. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-avers each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "69" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

71. Defendants has/have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff with an accurate wage statement, as required by NYLL, § 195(3).

72. Through knowingly or intentionally failing to provide the Plaintiff with a precise wage statement as required by the NYLL, Defendants willfully violated NYLL Article 6, §§ 190 et. seq., and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

73. Due to Defendants' willful violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(3), Plaintiff is entitled to statutory penalties of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) dollars each day that Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statement and reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 §198(1-d).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff request that this Court grant the following relief:

- (a) An award of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate due under the FLSA;
- (b) An award of liquidated damages as a result of Defendants' failure to pay wages at the overtime wage rate pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- (c) An award of unpaid wages at the minimum wage rate under the NYLL;
- (d) An award of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate under the NYLL;
- (e) An award of liquidated damages and statutory penalties as a result of Defendants' failure to pay wages at the minimum wage rate, failure to pay wages at the overtime wage rate, failure to provide accurate wage notices, and failure to provide accurate wage statements pursuant to the NYLL;
- (f) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- (g) An award of costs and expenses associated with this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and,
- (h) Such other and further relief as this Court determines to be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 20, 2021

Respectfully submitted

By: Lawrence Spasojević  
Lawrence Spasojević (LS 0945)